Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/649,417	MARTENS ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Peter Szekely	1714
All Participants: Status of Application: Abandoned		
(1) Peter Szekely.	(3)	
(2) William Hamby.	(4)	
Date of Interview: 26 October 2006	Time: <u>9:15 a.m.</u>	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ A Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:	Applicant's representative)	
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed: None.		
Claims discussed: None.		•
Prior art documents discussed: None.		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE Of Mr. Hamby confirmed that no response has been filed.	GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT	WAS DISCUSSED:
Part III.		
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separative directly resulted in the allowance of the application of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separation of all issues. A brief su 	on. The examiner will provide a variate record of the substance of	written summary of the substance f the interview, since the interview
25-		
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (App	plicant/Applicant's Representativ	/e Signature – if appropriate)